

1           **HENLEY L. SALTZBURG (State Bar No. 33634)**  
2           **PAUL T. DYE (State Bar No. 81753), E-Mail: ptd@srblaw.com**  
3           **Genise R. Reiter (State Bar No. 80578), E-Mail: grr@srblaw.com**  
4           **SALTZBURG, RAY & BERGMAN, LLP**  
5           **12121 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600**  
6           **Los Angeles, California 90025-1166**  
7           **Tel: (310) 481-6700 – Fax: (310) 481-6720**

8  
9  
10          **Attorneys for Defendants Defendants Lacy 20, LLC, Hooshang**  
11          **Namvar, Homayoun Namvar, Ramin Namvar, Trifish, LLC, Tribun,**  
12          **LLC, Believers, LLC, Net, LLC, Light Source Management, LLC,**  
13          **Woodman Partners, LLC.**

14  
15           **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
16           **CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, LOS ANGELES DIVISION**

17  
18          In re: NAMCO CAPITAL GROUP, INC.,  
19          a California corporation,  
20          Debtor.

21  
22          BRADLEY D. SHARP, solely in his  
23          capacity as Chapter 11 Trustee of NAMCO  
24          CAPITAL GROUP, INC.,

25           Plaintiff,

26           vs.

27          MOUSA NAMVAR, *et al.*,

28           Defendants.

1           Case No.: 2:11-cv-05320-GAF  
2           Chapter 11  
3           Bankr. Case No.: 2:08-bk-32333-BR  
4           Adv. Proc. No.: 2:10-ap-02945-BR

5  
6           **JOINDER IN MOTION TO**  
7           **EXCLUDE EXPERT REPORT AND**  
8           **TESTIMONY OF DAVID JUDD;**  
9           **PROPOSED ORDER**

10           Date: October 21, 2013

11           Time: 10:00 a.m.

12           Ctrm: 740

13           Discovery Cutoff: July 26, 2013

14           Pretrial Conf.: September 23, 2013

15           Trial: October 29, 2013

16           Judge: Hon. Gary A. Feess

17           Magistrate: Hon. Carla Woehrle

1           **TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR RESPECTIVE ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:**

2           **PLEASE TAKE NOTICE** that on October 21, 2013, at 10:00 a.m., or as soon  
3 thereafter as the matter may be heard, in Courtroom 740 of the above entitled Court,  
4 located at 255 East Temple St., Los Angeles, California 90012, Defendants Lacy 20,  
5 LLC, Hooshang Namvar, Homayoun Namvar, Ramin Namvar, Trifish, LLC, Tribun,  
6 LLC, Believers, LLC, Net, LLC, Light Source Management, LLC and Woodman  
7 Partners, LLC ("Defendants") will join in the Motion in Limine by Defendants Mousa  
8 Namvar, Magdiel, LLC, DGADE of Delaware, LLC, Namco 8, LLC, Bunherst, LLC  
9 and Wishlab 90, LLC ("Mousa Defendants"), moving the Court for an order excluding  
10 at trial presentation of any evidence the Expert Report and testimony of David Judd on  
11 the grounds stated in such motion failure to comply with FRCP 26(a)(2) and FRE 702.

12           Such joinder will be based on this Notice, the attached Memorandum of Points  
13 and Authorities, the pleadings and documents on file in this action, and such further  
14 evidence or oral argument as may be presented at the hearing on this motion.

15           Defendants brings the instant joinder after meeting and conferring with counsel  
16 for Plaintiff pursuant to L.R. 7-3 which took place on September 9, 2013.

17           DATED: September 21, 2013

SALTZBURG, RAY & BERGMAN, LLP

20           By: /s/ Paul T. Dye

21           PAUL T. DYE

22           Attorneys for Defendants Lacy 20, LLC,  
23           Hooshang Namvar, Homayoun Namvar,  
24           Ramin Namvar, Trifish, LLC, Tribun, LLC,  
25           Believers, LLC, Net, LLC, Light Source  
26           Management, LLC, Woodman Partners, LLC

## **MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES**

## **I. RELIEF SOUGHT**

Defendants Lacy 20, LLC, Hooshang Namvar, Homayoun Namvar, Ramin Namvar, Trifish, LLC, Tribun, LLC, Believers, LLC, Net, LLC, Light Source Management, LLC, Woodman Partners, LLC (“Defendants”) join in the Motion in Limine filed by the Mousa Defendants and also seek the Court’s entry of an Order precluding introduction at trial of the Expert Report and testimony of David Judd.

## **II. ISSUES TO BE DECIDED**

Whether Plaintiff should be precluded from admitting any evidence at trial of at trial of the Expert Report or testimony of David Judd due to failure to comply with FRCP 26(a) and FRE 702 as further stated in the Motion in Limine by the Mousa Defendants?

### III. STATEMENT OF FACTS

The factual basis for excluding the Expert Report and testimony of David Judd is set forth in detail in the companion Motion by the Mousa Defendants. These Defendants join in that statement of facts in that Motion. These Defendants only note the following complementary facts.

First, the deposition of Mr. Judd on June 26, 2013 was a combined deposition by all Defendants. As such, counsel for these Defendants was present at the Deposition and experienced the same infirmities as counsel for the Mousa Defendants. Due to Mr. Judd's failure to bring documents on the first day of deposition, and the volume of documents on the second day, counsel for these Defendants suffer the same inability as counsel for the Mousa Defendants in conducting the deposition.

Second, Defendants intend to rely on Mr. Engel's insolvency analysis, and they have William Scott Mowrey, Jr. for their damages analysis. Mr. Mowrey faces the same difficulties in evaluating Mr. Judd's initial expert report and in being unable to respond adequately to his rebuttal report as Mr. Engle, the Mousa Defendant's expert.

1      **IV. ARGUMENT**

2      These Defendants also join in the reasons for excluding the Expert Report and  
3      testimony of Mr. Judd as set forth in detail in the companion Motion by the Mousa  
4      Defendants. The same reasoning and principles justifying preclusion apply to these  
5      Defendants. In summary:

6      **FRCP 26(a)**: The Trustee failed to comply with FRCP 26(a)(2) in providing Mr.  
7      Judd's Expert Report. The Report failed to provide the "basis and reasons" underlying  
8      the opinion, omitted material information relied on in the opinion, and Mr. Judd failed  
9      to timely provide required documents at his deposition.

10     **FRE 702**: The Report also failed to comply with the requirements for expert  
11     testimony under FRE 702. The report failed to provide "sufficient facts and data"  
12     justifying the opinions stated, and failed to provide an objective, verifiable methodology  
13     for reaching its conclusions.

14      **V. CONCLUSION**

15     For the reasons given above, Defendants respectfully request the Court to exclude  
16     the Expert Report or any testimony by Mr. Judd at the trial of this matter.

17     DATED: September 21, 2013

SALTZBURG, RAY & BERGMAN, LLP

18     By: /s/ Paul T. Dye

19     PAUL T. DYE

20     Attorneys for Defendants Lacy 20, LLC,  
21     Hooshang Namvar, Homayoun Namvar,  
22     Ramin Namvar, Trifish, LLC, Tribun, LLC,  
23     Believers, LLC, Net, LLC, Light Source  
24     Management, LLC, Woodman Partners, LLC

## **PROOF OF SERVICE**

**STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of eighteen (18) and not a party to the within action. My business address is 12121 Wilshire Boulevard, Suite 600, Los Angeles, California 90025-1166.

On September 23, 2013, I served the foregoing document described as **JOINDER IN  
MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT REPORT AND TESTIMONY OF DAVID  
JUDD;  
PROPOSED ORDER**

- by placing the true copies thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as stated on the attached service list;

by placing  the original  a true copy thereof in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:

**See Attached Service List.**

- (BY MAIL)** I deposited each such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. I am "readily familiar" with the firm's practice of collecting and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice, it would be deposited with the U.S. Postal Service on that same day with postage thereon fully prepaid at California, in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.
  - (BY PERSONAL SERVICE)** Will cause to be delivered by hand to the offices of the addressee(s).
  - (BY NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING** on September 23, 2013, I caused to be served the above-described document by means of electronic transmission of the Notice of Electronic Filing through the Court's transmission facilities, for parties and/or counsel who are registered ECF Users.
  - (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.
  - (Federal) I declare that I am employed in the office of a member of the bar of this court at whose direction the service was made. I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the above is true and correct.

Executed on September 23, 2013, at Los Angeles, California

Aaron Rosenberg  
Type or Print Name

Signature

## SERVICE LIST

*Sharp v Namvar*  
Case No.: 2:11-cv-05320-GAF (DWx)

**By US MAIL:**

United States District Court  
Attn: Hon. Gary A. Feess  
Edward R. Roybal Federal Building  
255 East Temple Street, Courtroom 740  
Los Angeles, CA 90012

**SERVED BY THE COURT VIA NOTICE OF ELECTRONIC FILING  
("NEF"):**

- Alan F. Broidy: [afbroidy@ix.netcom.com](mailto:afbroidy@ix.netcom.com)
  - Gillian N. Brown: [gbrown@pszjlaw.com](mailto:gbrown@pszjlaw.com)
  - Brian L. Davidoff: [briandavidoff@davidoffgold.com](mailto:briandavidoff@davidoffgold.com)
  - Alan J. Kornfeld: [akornfeld@pszjy.com](mailto:akornfeld@pszjy.com)
  - Robert B. Mobasseri: [robert@lawyer.com](mailto:robert@lawyer.com), [robertm@mobasseriipc.com](mailto:robertm@mobasseriipc.com)
  - Richard M Pachulski: [jstang@pszjlaw.com](mailto:jstang@pszjlaw.com)
  - Daryl G. Parker: [dparker@pszjlaw.com](mailto:dparker@pszjlaw.com)
  - Elissa A. Wagner: [ewagner@pszjlaw.com](mailto:ewagner@pszjlaw.com)
  - Dean A. Ziehl: [dziehl@pszjy.com](mailto:dziehl@pszjy.com)